This article was downloaded by: On: 24 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK

Journal of Liquid Chromatography & Related Technologies

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713597273

HPLC I Fluid T Oscar Chiantore^a; Marino Guaita^a ^a Istituto di Chimica Macromolecolare dell'Universita di Torino, Torino, Italy Cazes, Ph.D. Taylor & Fra

CHROMATOGRAPHY

& RELATED TECHNOLOGIES

LIQUID

Applications of High Performance Size Exclusion Chromatography To The Analysis of Oligomers and Small Molecules

To cite this Article Chiantore, Oscar and Guaita, Marino(1986) 'Applications of High Performance Size Exclusion Chromatography To The Analysis of Oligomers and Small Molecules', Journal of Liquid Chromatography & Related

Technologies, 9: 6, 1341 – 1365 To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/01483918608075508 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01483918608075508

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

APPLICATIONS OF HIGH PERFORMANCE SIZE EXCLUSION CHROMATOGRAPHY TO THE ANALYSIS OF OLIGOMERS AND SMALL MOLECULES

Oscar Chiantore and Marino Guaita

Istituto di Chimica Macromolecolare dell'Universita di Torino Via G. Bidone 36 - 10125 Torino, Italy

ABSTRACT

Size exclusion chromatography of small molecules has been efficiency columns of crosslinked investigated with high polystyrene. Performance evaluations and calibrations have been determined in toluene and in tetrahydrofuran, and the effect of the solvents on solute retention is discussed. Oligomer separations of samples obtained in the thermal degradation of several polymeric materials are reported, and the utility of the technique for polymer degradation studies is clearly evidentiated.

INTRODUCTION

The development of porous packings with small particle size and small pores has led to the availability of very efficient

1341

column systems for size exclusion chromatography (SEC) of low molecular weight (50-2000) compounds. Such separation systems are ideally suited for the analysis of oligomers and of small molecules which can be present as additives or can be formed through chemical reactions in polymeric materials. Chemical compounds in a mixture can be often resolved as individual peaks and therefore molecular weights can be assigned or substance identification can be performed.

The principal problems in SEC of low molecular weight compounds were early recognized as the following : (i) the molecules are separated according to their molecular volume in solution and not their molecular weight (1); (ii) solute-solvent and solute-gel interactions may influence the retention volumes of the solute (2,3). Many investigations report on the elution behaviour of different organic compounds in SEC. Retention volumes were used to calculate molar volumes of solutes (4), and in other cases correlations were established with dimensions of the molecules as obtained from molecular models (5,6).

The results obtained in the separation of small molecular weight molecules with SEC systems are strongly dependent on the nature of the eluents and of the eluted compounds. In fact, when the solutes are solvated by eluent molecules their effective molar volumes are higher than those calculated for the bulk compounds, and this is reflected in the measured retention volumes (2,3,5,7). Adsorption of the solutes on the porous packings may take place and give higher retention volumes than

expected (7). The interactions between solute, solvent and organic gels can be predicted by the solubility parameters, and when the enthalpic contributions to the separation become important the separation mode is seen to shift from pure SEC to adsorption or to partition chromatography (8). Hybrid modes, size-partition and size-adsorption chromatography were recently reviewed (9). A thorough exploitation of the possibilities given by the different separation modes has been made by Sato et al. (10) who performed fractionation by molecular weight of styrene oligomers and then separated individual x-mers into pure diastereoisomers by changing solvent on the same column system.

For the assignement of molecular weights to the compounds fractionated in SEC the calibration of the column system is When dealing with oligomers or generally with low needed. molecular weight compounds, the calibration should be performed on the same eluent by using standards similar to the ones which must be separated, in order to take into account the possible effect of the solvent on the molar volumes. It has been also suggested that such calibrations can be related with those obtained with oligostyrenes or n-alkanes (11,12), which are the usually In some instances the most employed standards. calibration for a given oligomer series is not available, or the structure of the fractionated molecules is not known: due to the very high resolution attainable in the low molecular weight region, the components of a mixture can be separated into individual peaks and collected for further characterization, e.g. by infared spectroscopy, NMR, mass-spectrometry.

For several series of oligomers and small molecules the validity of the "universal calibration" approach, through the use of the parameter $M[\eta]$ proportional to the hydrodynamic volume of the molecules has been also proposed (13-15); an on-line viscosity detector would be needed and it should be carefully checked whether the precision of the measurement of intrinsic viscosity of such low molecular weight molecules is high enough.

In the present work are reported applications of separation by size of small molecules, using very high efficiency columns of microparticulate cross-linked polystyrene (16). Performances and elution behaviour as determined in toluene and in tetrahydrofuran (THF) are shown, and the analysis of samples obtained from polymer degradation studies is discussed.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

The SEC columns were Ultrastyragel type (Waters Ass., USA), 7.8 mm i.d., with 10^3 A, 500 A and 100 A nominal 30 cm x porosities. A Waters M45 pump was employed, together with a Rheodyne injection valve; flow rate was 1 cm³/min. Sample injection volumes of 50 or 100 µl were used, depending on the number of columns, and sample concentrations were between 0.2 and 0.5 % (w/v).A Siemens differential refractometer was used as a possible, а Zeiss PM2 UV detector and also, when spectrophotometer at 260 nm wavelength. Measurements were carried out at ambient temperature.

Polystyrene standards from Toyo Soda (Japan) and Arro Laboratories (USA) were used for calibrations, together with n-alkanes (C. Erba, Italy) and aliphatic aldehydes (Fluka, Switzerland).

Polymers used in the degradation studies were either commercial materials (phenol-formaldehyde resin from SIR, Italy; ABS and styrene-acrylonitrile from Montedison, Italy) or synthesized in our laboratory (polystyrenes and poly-p-methyl styrenes).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Performance

The calibration curves of the individual columns, as obtained in toluene with PS standards are shown in Fig. 1. The columns with porosities 500 A and 10^3 A present practically the same separation range and selectivity; it can be noted that also with these two columns fractionation of oligomers down to the size of monomers, dimers, trimers, is still feasible, although with lower resolution than that possible with the 100 A column. Several column combinations were tested by determining the specific resolution R_{sp} for various pairs of n-alkanes according to the equation

$$R_{sp} = \frac{2 (V_{R,2} - V_{R,1})}{\frac{W_1 + W_2}{W_1 + W_2}} = \frac{1}{\log (M_1 / M_2)}$$



FIGURE 1. Calibrations of individual Ultrastyragel columns with PS standards, Solvent: toluene. □ : 100 A; o : 500 A; • : 10³ A.

where $V_{R,1}$ and $V_{R,2}$ are retention volumes, w_1 and w_2 are baseline widths, and M_1 and M_2 are molecular weights of n-alkanes 1 and 2 respectively. In Table 1 are reported the values of R_{sp} obtained with the 100 A column, with the 500+10³ A, and with the three columns, 10^3 +500+100 A, connected in series.

The results for the two-column system can be compared with those obtained by Krishen and Tucker (11) with a 60 cm TSK-2000 H

$ \begin{array}{c} c_{10}\\ c_{13}\\ c_{16}\\ c_{20}\\ c_{24}\\ c_{32}\\ \hline \\ c_{10}\\ c_{13}\\ c_{16}\\ c_{20}\\ c_{24}\\ c_{32}\\ \hline \\ c_{20}\\ c_{24}\\ c_{32}\\ \hline \\ c_{5}\\ c_{8}\\ c_{10}\\ c_{13}\\ \hline \\ 1 \end{array} $	C1	с ₅	C ₈ 9.32 8.66 9.72 8.64 8.47 8.05 7.00 10.82	C ₁₀ 9.87 11.15 9.70 9.44 8.87	C ₁₃ 9.96 8.63 8.33 7.72	C ₁₆ 9.25 8.90 8.17	C ₂₀ 7.63 6.90	6.44	Columns 100 A
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			9.32 8.66 9.72 8.64 8.47 8.05 7.00 10.82	9.87 11.15 9.70 9.44 8.87	9.96 8.63 8.33 7.72	9.25 8.90 8.17	7.63	6.44	100 A
$ \begin{array}{c} c_{13}\\ c_{16}\\ c_{20}\\ c_{24}\\ c_{32}\\ \hline\\c_{10}\\ c_{13}\\ \hline\\c_{16}\\ c_{20}\\ c_{24}\\ c_{32}\\ \hline\\c_{32}\\ \hline\\c_{32}\\ \hline\\c_{32}\\ \hline\\c_{32}\\ \hline\\c_{10}\\ c_{13}\\ \hline\\c_{13}\\ \hline\\1\\ \hline\end{array} $			8.66 9.72 8.64 8.47 8.05 7.00 10.82	9.87 11.15 9.70 9.44 8.87	9.96 8.63 8.33 7.72	9.25 8.90 8.17	7.63	6.44	100 A
$ \begin{array}{c} c_{16}\\ c_{20}\\ c_{24}\\ c_{32}\\ \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} c_{10}\\ c_{13}\\ c_{16}\\ c_{20}\\ c_{24}\\ c_{32}\\ \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} c_{5}\\ c_{8}\\ c_{10}\\ c_{13}\\ \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} c_{5}\\ c_{8}\\ c_{10}\\ c_{13}\\ \end{array} $			9.72 8.64 8.47 8.05 7.00 10.82	11.15 9.70 9.44 8.87	9.96 8.63 8.33 7.72	9.25 8.90 8.17	7.63	6.44	100 A
$ \begin{array}{c} c_{20}\\ c_{24}\\ c_{32}\\ \hline \\ c_{10}\\ c_{13}\\ c_{16}\\ c_{20}\\ c_{24}\\ c_{32}\\ \hline \\ c_{32}\\ \hline \\ c_{5}\\ c_{8}\\ c_{10}\\ c_{13}\\ \hline \\ 1 \\ \end{array} $			8.64 8.47 8.05 7.00 10.82	9.70 9.44 8.87	8.63 8.33 7.72	9.25 8.90 8.17	7.63	6.44	
$ \begin{array}{c} c_{24}\\ c_{32}\\ \hline \\ c_{10}\\ c_{13}\\ c_{16}\\ c_{20}\\ c_{24}\\ \hline \\ c_{32}\\ \hline \\ c_{32}\\ \hline \\ c_{5}\\ \hline \\ c_{8}\\ \hline \\ c_{10}\\ \hline \\ c_{13}\\ \hline \\ 1\\ \end{array} $			8.47 8.05 7.00 10.82	9.44	8.33	8.90 8.17	7.63	6.44	
$ \begin{array}{c} c_{32}\\ \hline c_{10}\\ \hline c_{13}\\ \hline c_{16}\\ \hline c_{20}\\ \hline c_{24}\\ \hline c_{32}\\ \hline c_{32}\\ \hline c_{5}\\ \hline c_{8}\\ \hline c_{10}\\ \hline c_{13}\\ \hline 1 \end{array} $			8.05 7.00 10.82	8.87	7.72	8.17	6.90	6.44	
$ \begin{array}{c} c_{10}\\ c_{13}\\ c_{16}\\ c_{20}\\ c_{24}\\ c_{32}\\ \hline\\c_{32}\\ \hline\\c_{5}\\ c_{8}\\ c_{10}\\ c_{13}\\ \end{array} $			7.00 10.82	11.85					
$ \begin{array}{c} C_{13} \\ C_{16} \\ C_{20} \\ C_{24} \\ C_{32} \\ C_{33} \\ C_{33} \\ C_{33} \\ C_{33} \\ C_{10} \\ C_{13} \\ C_{$			10.82	11.85					
$ C_{16} C_{20} C_{24} C_{32} C_{5} C_{6} C_{10} C_{13} 1 $				11.05					10 ³
$ C_{20} C_{24} C_{32} C_{5} C_{8} C_{10} C_{13} 1 $			13.46	14.31	16.80				+
C_{24} C_{32} C_{5} C_{8} C_{10} C_{13} 1			12.71	12.68	14.83	10.40			500 A
C ₃₂ C ₅ C ₈ C ₁₀ C ₁₃ 1			13.24	12.98	15.15	12.87	15.89		
C ₅ C ₈ C ₁₀ C ₁₃ 1			11.55	11.18	12.59	11.14	12.04	10.73	
$ \begin{bmatrix} 2_8 \\ {10} \\ {13} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} $	7.43								
$ \begin{bmatrix} 2_{10} \\ 2_{13} \end{bmatrix} $	8.54	12.22							
C ₁₃ 1	9.74	13.60	14.52						10 ³
	0.30	14.00	14.80	16.29					+
^C 16 1	0.70	14.27	15.01	16.52	16.80				500
C ₂₀ 1		14.55	15.25	16.78	17.08	17.34		[+
² 24 1	1.11	11 15	14.99	16.37	16.41	16.20	14.83		100 A
² 32 1	1.11	14.45			17.79	17-63	17.02	17.55	

TABLE	1.	Specific	Resolution	Values,	R _{sp} ,	for	Different	Pairs	of	n-Alkanes
-------	----	----------	------------	---------	-------------------	-----	-----------	-------	----	-----------

column, whereas the results obtained with the three columns (90 cm) can be compared with the ones determined by Kuo et al. (17) on a 100 cm column bank (TSK-2000 H + TSK-3000 H). In both cases the columns employed in this work give higher values of R_{sp} for almost all the molecular weights covered.

The column system which has been mostly employed for oligomer analysis is the $10^3+500+100$ A one. Examples of separations which can be obtained with such columns are shown in Fig.2, where the chromatograms of different low molecular weight PS standards are reported. The oligomers are fractionated into individual components up to degree of polymerization x = 10; the molecular weights of the peaks are easily assigned because the A-500 and A-1000 standards (Toyo Soda Co., Japan) are supplied with a full molecular characterization. The availability of such standards allows for a detailed calibration of the columns in the of molecular weights 100-1000; the degrees range of polymerization of the fractions shown by the standard PS 800 Laboratories, USA) were assigned according to such (Arro calibration. It can be seen that the two standards A-1000 and PS 800 from different producers are very similar but do present some differences in the number and relative amount of the individual x-mers.

Calibration and Effect of the Solvents.

Complete calibrations of the system $10^3 + 500 + 100$ A were performed in toluene and in THF with different series of



FIGURE 2. Oligostyrenes fractionated on the column system 10³+500+100 A. Numbers on the peaks indicate degrees of polymerization of the x-mers.

homologous compounds, and are shown in Figs. 3 and 4. Retention volumes V_0 for excluded samples were measured with PS standards, whereas the total permeation volume V_t of the columns was given by the peak of methane. The pore volume of the columns, as obtained from the difference $V_t - V_0$, was slightly higher in toluene (18.2 cm³) than in THF (17.6 cm³); the different pore volumes in these two solvents were also reflected in the higher number of theoretical plates measured in toluene (32000), compared with that in THF (28600). Even larger differences of gel capacity were reported by Mori (12) comparing elutions in THF and in chloroform with Shodex type columns.

The effect of the solvents is shown not only by the different retention volumes of the n-alkanes and of the PS standards, which are all likely to elute without any specific interaction with the gel or with the solvents, but also by the different elution behaviour of the aliphatic aldehydes, as seen in Figs. 3 and 4. It is evident that in toluene some adsorption phenomena occur, as the retention volume of ethanal is higher that the V_t of the columns; on the other hand, in THF solvation of the solute molecules might be present, similar to that reported for other polar compounds (2,3), and particularly for ketones both in THF (3) and in chloroform (7). The presence of these two effects can be depicted by plotting the logarithm of the molar volumes against the retention volumes of the aldehydes and comparing the results with those of the n-alkanes. The molar



FIGURE 3. Calibration curves of 10³+500+100 A columns in toluene for different series of homologous compounds.
□ : n-alkanes; △ : aliphatic aldehydes; o : PS standards; ● : oligomers from PS degradation; ● : styrene.



FIGURE 4. Calibration curves of 10³+500+100 A columns in THF. □ : n-alkanes; △ : aliphatic aldehydes; o : PS standards; ■ : oligomers from PS degradation; • : styrene.

TABLE 2

Molecular Characteristics of Aliphatic Aldehydes and Retention Volumes in Toluene and in THF for the Column System 10 +500+100 A.

Sample	MW	Molar Volume	log V _M	v _R (cm ³)
		(cm ³ /mole)		THF	Toluene
Ethanal	44.05	56.23	1.750	30.9	34.0
Propanal	58.08	72.08	1.858	30.3	32.4
Butanal	72.12	88.27	1.946	29.7	31.0
Pentanal	86.14	106.41	2.027	28.8	30.3
Heptanal	114.19	134.42	2.128	27.9	29.1
Octanal	128.22	156.16	2.194	27.4	29.0
Nonanal	142.24	172.12	2.236	27.1	28.2
Decanal	156.27	188.28	2.275	26.9	27.4

volumes of the aldehydes were calculated by the ratio of the molecular weights to the density of the samples, and the data of interest are reported in Table 2.

The results are reported in Fig. 5, where it is seen that in THF the aldehydes elute earlier than the alkanes, thus indicating that their effective molar volume is higher than calculated. In toluene, on the contrary, adsorption on the gel leads to retention volumes higher than those of alkanes; in both cases the difference of retention volumes tends to vanish with increasing size of the aldehydes, and practically disappears with decanal.



FIGURE 5. Logarithms of molar volumes vs. retention volumes in THF (a) and in toluene (b). o : n-alkanes; ▲ : aliphatic aldehydes.

Analysis of oligomers and of degradation products.

Many organic polymers undergo thermal, photo or hydrolytic degradation with production of chain fragments, oligomers and, eventually, monomer or other low molecular weight compounds. Short chain fragments oligomers can be conveniently and fractionated and characterized by SEC. An example is given by the products obtained in the thermal degradation of polystyrene, which are known to be monomer and oligomers up to degree of polymerizarion x 🕿 7 (18). In an experiment of thermal degradation under vacuum of a PS sample, the fraction which is not volatile at the degradation temperatures (300-500 °C) was collected and the chromatogram showed the peaks of oligomers with degrees of polymerization x = 2; 5. For the molecular weight assignement of these oligomers it is not possible to rely on the calibration obtained with the anionic PS standards because the chemical structure of the latters is different, principally due initiator fragments. Dimers and trimers of PS to the characterized by NMR (19) were used for calibration purposes and their chromatograms are shown in Fig. 6. In Table 3 are reported the molecular characteristics of the different PS oligomers; the calibration relative to the samples from thermal degradation is also shown in Figs. 3 and 4.

Oligomers obtained in the thermal degradation of poly-p-methyl styrene, (PPMS), were as well fractionated on the same columns and the chromatogram in Fig. 7 was obtained. Peak



FIGURE 6. Oligomers from PS degradations. Numbers on the peaks indicate molecular weights.

assignement has been done on the basis of the results obtained from mass spectrometry of the fractions (20) and collected in Table 4. The presence of different dimers, having small differences in molecular weights, could be guessed from the shape of the peak having the highest V_R . In cases like this, the separations of isomers and of compounds with almost the same molecular weight are better performed by HPLC (20).

In the thermal degradation under vacuum of phenol-formaldeyde resins it has been reported that the largest

Oligomer	Anionic Polymerization	MW	Thermal Degradation	MW
Dimer	с ₄ н ₉ -(сн ₂ -сн) ₂ -н с ₆ н ₅	266	$CH_2 = C - CH_2 - CH_2$	208
Trimer	с ₄ н ₉ -(сн ₂ -сн) ₃ -н с ₆ н ₅	370	$CH_2 = C - (CH_2 - CH)_2 - H$ $\begin{vmatrix} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & C_6H_5 & C_6H_5 \end{vmatrix}$	312
Tetramer	с ₄ н ₉ -(сн ₂ -сн) ₄ -н с ₆ н ₅	474	$CH_2 = C - (CH_2 - CH)_3 - H$ I $IC_6H_5 C_6H_5$	416
			V_{R} (cm ³)	

Molecular Characteristics of PS Oligomers from Anionic Polymerization and from Thermal Degradation.

FIGURE 7. Chromatogram of the oligomers obtained in thermal degradation of poly-p-methyl styrene.

Structure	MW	V _R (SEC) (cm ³)
$\bigotimes_{CH_3}^{CH_2-CH_2-CH_2} \bigotimes_{CH_3}^{CH_2-CH_2}$	224	
$\bigotimes_{CH_3}^{CH_2-CH=C-CH_3} \bigotimes_{CH_3}^{CH_2-CH=C-CH_3}$	236	
$\bigotimes_{\text{CH}_{3}}^{\text{CH}_{2}=\text{C}-\text{CH}_{2}} \bigotimes_{\text{CH}_{3}}^{\text{CH}_{2}} \bigoplus_{\text{CH}_{3}}^{\text{CH}_{2}}$	236	26.5
$\bigotimes_{CH_{3}=C-CH_{2}}^{CH_{2}=C-CH_{2}-C=CH_{2}} \bigotimes_{CH_{3}}^{CH_{2}-C=CH_{2}}$	248	
^{СH2} =С-(СH2-CH)2-H	354	24.9
^{Сн} 2 ^{=С-(СН} 2 ^{-СН)} 3 ^{-Н} СН ₃ СН ₃	472	23.5

TABLE 4 Oligomers Obtained from Thermal Degradation of Poly-p-Methyl Styrene.

fraction of products which are formed is constituted by several oligomers, originated either by evaporation or fragmentation of original resin (21). A commercial, novolak the type, phenol-formaldehyde resin was submitted to thermal degradation at different temperatures, and the oligomers formed in the process were collected for SEC analysis. In Fig. 8a) is shown the original resin and in Fig. 8b) the of the chromatogram low molecular weight compounds which of the chromatogram evaporate under vacuum at 150 °C; dimer and trimer peaks are found in both samples at 24.8 and 23.7 cm³ respectively, together with some other compounds (at 26.8 and 25.3 cm^3 retention volumes) which are due to oxidation products of the In the degradation at higher temperatures (270 and 500 novolak. same oligomers are found (Fig.9), but at °C) the these temperatures tetramers ($V_{R} = 22.6 \text{ cm}^{3}$) and pentamers $(V_n = 21.8 \text{ cm}^3)$ can also distill from the degradation site, and a different composition of the mixture is obtained.

ABS polymers form an important class of materials which have a wide spectrum of applications. Their thermal behaviour is influenced not only by the composition of the copolymers present, but also by the method of preparation of the material. copolymers, blended Styrene-acrylonitrile with butadiene-acrylonitrile copolymers, are used in some formulations of ABS polymers, whereas in other systems styrene-acrylonitrile copolymers grafted with polybutadiene are present. In the thermal these products the chain fragments can be degradation of



FIGURE 8. Chromatograms of novolak resin (a) and of products(b) obtained after heating at 150 °C.



FIGURE 9. Chromatograms of the products of degradation of a novolak resin. Temperatures of degradation : a), 270 °C; b), 500 °C.



FIGURE 10. Oligomeric products formed in the thermal degradation of a) : styrene-acrylonitrile copolymer; b) : styreneacrylonitrile-g-butadiene terpolymer.

conveniently analysed by SEC. The interpretation of the results accomplished by comparing the behaviour, under the same is experimental conditions, of the single components which might be present in the ABS. As a matter of example, the chromatograms of the oligomeric products obtained in the thermal degradation of either а styrene-acrylonitrile copolymer or a styrene-acrylonitrile-g-butadiene based ABS resin are shown in Fig. 10 a) and 10 b), respectively. It is known that in the thermal degradation of pure polybutadiene more than 80% of chain fragments formed (22), whereas in the degradation of are styrene-acrylonitrile copolymers fraction which is the not volatile at ambient temperature is mainly constituted by dimers and trimers (23). The broad peak in the higher molecular weight region of Fig. 10 b) can be attributed to the fragments originated from chain scission of polybutadiene, whereas the remaining part of the chromatogram more closely compares with the Fig. 10 a). By employing a dual detector (UV and DRI) peaks of system it should be possible to determine the ratio of styrene to acrylonitrile units in the oligomers which are formed throughout the degradation process, and consequently to establish which is influence of the butadiene chains on the mechanism of the degradation of styrene-acrylonitrile copolymers. The the investigation on such systems is being continued and the results will be subsequently published.

REFERENCES

1.	Cazes, J. and Gaskill, D.R., Separ. Sci., <u>2</u> ,421 (1967).
2.	Cazes, J. and Gaskill, D.R., Separ. Sci., <u>4</u> ,15 (1969).
3.	Edwards, G.D. and Ng, Q.Y., J. Polym. Sci., Part C, $\underline{21}$, 105 (1968).
4.	Lambert, A., Anal. Chim. Acta, <u>53</u> , 63 (1971).
5.	Duval, M., Bloch, B. and Kohn, S., J. Appl, Polym. Sci., <u>15</u> , 1585 (1972).
6.	Shanks, R.A., Aust. J. Chem., <u>28</u> , 189 (1975).
7.	Ogawa, T. and Sakai, M., J. Liquid Chromatogr., 5 , 1809 (1982).
8.	Mori, S., Anal. Chem., 50 , 745 (1978).
9.	Walter, R.B. and Johnson J.F., J. Liquid Chromatogr., $\underline{3}$, 315 (1980).
10.	Sato,H., Saito, K., Miyashita, K. and Tanaka, Y., Makromol. Chem., <u>182</u> , 2259 (1981).
11.	Krishen, A. and Tucker, R.G., Anal. Chem., <u>49</u> , 898 (1977).
12.	Mori, S. and Yamakawa, A., J. Liquid Chromatogr., <u>3</u> , 329 (1980).
13.	Belenkii, B.G., Vakhtina, I.A. and Tarakanov, O.G., Vysokomol. Soedin. Ser. B, <u>16</u> , 507 (1974).
14.	Aurenge, J., Gallot, Z., De Vries, A.J. and Benoit, H., J. Polym. Sci., Part C, <u>52</u> ,217 (1975).
15.	Ambler, M.R., J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Letters Ed., <u>14</u> ,683 (1976).
16.	Schultz, H.S., Alden, P.G. and Ekmanis, J.L., ACS Symposium Series, <u>245</u> , 145 (1984).
17.	Kuo,C. Provder, T., Holsworth, R.M. and Kah, A.F., in "Liquid Chromatography of Polymers and Related Materials III", J. Cazes, Ed., Marcel Dekker, New York, 1981, pp. 169-206.
18.	Brauman, S.K., Chen, I.J. and Matzinger, D.P., J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Chem. Ed., <u>21</u> , 1831 (1983).

- Costa, L., Camino, G. and Trossarelli, L., J. Chromatogr., <u>279</u>, 125 (1983).
- 20. Chiantore, O. and Guaita, M., to be published.
- 21. Camino, G., Luda, M.P., Costa, L. and Trossarelli, L., Proc. 7th Int. Conference on Thermal Analysis, B. Miller, Ed., J. Wiley, New York, 1982, vol. II, p. 1137.
- 22. Madorsky, S.L., Strauss, S. Thompson, D. and Williamson, L.J., Res. Natl. Bur. Std., <u>42</u>, 499 (1949).
- 23. Grassie, N. and Bain, D.R., J. Polym. Sci., A-1, <u>8</u>, 2665 (1970).